

Typed Closure Conversion

Def. [Closure conversion]

makes explicit in pgm text

the closures ((code x environment) pairs)

Analogy. [CPS conversion]

makes explicit in pgm text

the continuations (things to do with the current result)

e.g.)

$(\lambda x. x+y) 1$

\Rightarrow let
 $f = \langle \lambda \langle r, x \rangle. x + r.1, \langle y \rangle \rangle$
in
 $f.1 \langle f.2, 1 \rangle$

CPS Conversion

Source	Target
$e \rightarrow x$	$e \rightarrow x$
$\lambda x.e$	$\lambda x.e$
$e e$	$e e$
1	1

Closure Conversion

Source	Target
$e \rightarrow x$	$e \rightarrow x$
$\lambda x.e$	$\lambda \langle x, y \rangle. e$
$e e$	$e e$
1	$\langle e, \dots, e \rangle$
	$e.n$
	1

Typed Target

- | pack (e, τ) as $\exists d. \tau$
- | let $x, a = \text{unpack } e$
in e

Problem in Typed CC

if e then $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{let } y = 1 \\ \text{in } \lambda x. x + y \end{array} \right)$ 1
else $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{let } y = \text{true} \\ \text{in } \lambda x. \text{if } y \text{ then } x \text{ else } x + 1 \end{array} \right)$



if \underline{e} then $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{let } y = 1 \\ \text{in } \langle \lambda \langle r, x \rangle. x + r.1, \underline{\langle y \rangle}_A \rangle \end{array} \right)$ 1
else $\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{let } y = \text{true} \\ \text{in } \langle \lambda \langle r, x \rangle. \text{if } y \dots, \underline{\langle y \rangle}_B \rangle \end{array} \right)$

fails to type-check;

The explicit environments $\underline{\langle y \rangle}_A$ & $\underline{\langle y \rangle}_B$ have conflicting types.

Existential Type System

Polymorphic Types = Universally Quantified Types.
Generic Types

$\forall \alpha. \tau$

(Module Types)
Abstract Data Types
Package Types = Existentially Quantified Types

$\exists \alpha. \tau$

$\langle 3, \text{true} \rangle : \exists \alpha. \alpha$
: $\exists \alpha. \alpha \times \text{bool}$
: $\exists \alpha. \text{int} \times \text{bool}$
: $\exists \alpha, \beta. \alpha \times \beta$

Existentially typed objects are useful if the types are sufficiently structured.

e.g.) $x : \exists \alpha. \alpha \times (\alpha \rightarrow \text{int})$

Then the type gives us
an efficient information
to allow us to compute with x .

We can write

$(x.2) (x.1)$

to compute an integer value.

Existential quantification is an useful
typing of an object whose information
is not completely uncovered.

i.e. existential quantification
~ information hiding

$e \rightarrow 1$
 $| x$
 $| \lambda x. e$
 $| e e$
 $| \langle e, e \rangle \quad | e.1 \quad | e.2$
 $| \text{pack}(e, \tau) \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau$
 $| \text{let } x, \alpha = \text{unpack } e \text{ in } e$

$\tau \rightarrow \alpha$
 $| \cdot$
 $| \tau \rightarrow \tau$
 $| \tau \times \tau$
 $| \exists \alpha. \tau$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \{\tau_1/a\}\tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{pack}(e, \tau_1) \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau_2 : \exists \alpha. \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \exists \alpha. \tau \quad \Gamma + x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \tau'}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x, \alpha = \text{unpack } e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau'}$$

e.g.) If e_1 is

$\text{pack}(\langle 1, \lambda x. x+1 \rangle, \text{int}) \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \alpha x (\alpha \rightarrow \text{int})$

then

$\text{let } x, \alpha = \text{unpack } e_1 \text{ in } (x.2) (x.1)$

has type int .

Typed CC : The Rules

(monomorphic cases)

$$\Gamma x = \tau$$

$$\Gamma \vdash x : \tau \rightsquigarrow x$$

$$\Gamma \vdash 1 : \iota \rightsquigarrow 1$$

$$\Gamma + x : \tau \vdash e : \tau' \rightsquigarrow e'$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau. e : \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rightsquigarrow$$

pack ($\langle \text{code}, \text{env} \rangle, \tau_p$) as $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$

where

$$\Gamma = \{x_1 : \tau_1, \dots, x_n : \tau_n\}$$

$$\tau_p = \tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_n$$

$$\text{code} = \lambda \langle r, x \rangle : \tau_p \times \tau.$$

$$\text{let } x_1 = r.1$$

\vdots

$$x_n = r.n$$

in

$$e'$$

$$\text{env} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau \rightsquigarrow e_1' \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \rightsquigarrow e_2'$$

$$\Gamma \vdash e_1, e_2 : \tau \rightsquigarrow \text{let } x, d = \text{unpack } e_1' \\ \text{in } (x.1) \langle x.2, e_2' \rangle$$

$$\underline{\tau} = \tau$$

$$\underline{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} = \exists \alpha. (\alpha \times \underline{\tau_1} \rightarrow \underline{\tau_2}) \times \alpha$$