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1. Below is some equivalence predicates. What is the result printed by the interpreter in response to each expression? What is the result when you change eq? into eqv? or equal?
```
(eq? 'a 'a)
(eq? "a" "a")
(eq? (cons 1 ()) (cons 1 ()))
(let ((a (cons 1 ())))
    (eq? a a))
(let ((p (lambda (x) x)))
    (eq? p p))
```

You will need the following reference. http://www.gnu.org/software/mit-scheme/documentation/mit-scheme-ref/Equivalence-Predicates.html
2. Modify your reverse procedure of practice 3.2 to produce a deep-reverse procedure that takes a list as argument and returns as its value the list with its elements reversed and with all sublists deep-reversed as well. For example,

```
(define x (list (list 1 2) (list 3 4)))
```

x
; ((1 2) (3 4))
(reverse x )
; ((3 4) (1 2))
(deep-reverse x )
; ((4 3) (2 1))
3. Define a procedure square-tree analogous to the square-list procedure of practice 3.4. That is, square-tree should behave as follows:

```
(square-tree
    (list 1
                    (list 2 (list 3 4) 5)
                    (list 6 7)))
;(1 (4 (9 16) 25) (36 49))
```

Define square-tree both directly (i.e., without using any higher-order procedures) and also by using map and recursion.
4. We can represent a set as a list of distinct elements, and we can represent the set of all subsets of the set as a list of lists. For example, if the set is (1 2 3), then the set of all subsets is (() (3) (2) (2 3) (1) (13) (1 2) (1 2 3 $)$ ). Complete the following definition of a procedure that generates the set of subsets of a set and give a clear explanation of why it works:

```
(define (subsets s)
    (if (null? s)
            (list nil)
            (let ((rest (subsets (cdr s))))
                    (append rest (map <??> rest)))))
```

5. Evaluating a polynomial in x at a given value of x can be formulated as an accumulation. We evaluate the polynomial

$$
a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} x+a_{0}
$$

using a well-known algorithm called Horner's rule, which structures the computation as

$$
\left(\cdots\left(a_{n} x+a_{n-1}\right) x+\cdots+a_{1}\right) x+a_{0}
$$

In other words, we start with $a_{n}$, multiply by $x$, add $a_{n-1}$, multiply by $x$, and so on, until we reach $a_{0}$. Fill in the following template to produce a procedure that evaluates a polynomial using Horner's rule. Assume that the coefficients of the polynomial are arranged in a sequence, from $a_{0}$ through $a_{n}$.

```
(define (horner-eval x coefficient-sequence)
    (accumulate (lambda (this-coeff higher-terms) <??>)
                            0
                            coefficient-sequence))
```

For example, to compute $1+3 x+5 x^{3}+x^{5}$ at $x=2$ you would evaluate
(horner-eval 2 (list 13050 1))
;79

