

Take-Home Exam 1

4541.664A Program Analysis

TAs
 {msjin, pronto}@ropas.snu.ac.kr
 Programming Research Lab

June 2, 2006

1 Problem 2.

1.1 Abstract Semantics

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ n \ \hat{\Sigma} &= \alpha_2\{n\} \\
 \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ x \ \hat{\Sigma} &= \alpha_2\{\sigma \ x \mid \sigma \in \gamma_1(\hat{\Sigma})\} \\
 \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 + E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma} &= (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 \ \hat{\Sigma}) \hat{+} (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma}) \\
 \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ - \ E \ \hat{\Sigma} &= \hat{-}(\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E \ \hat{\Sigma}) \\
 \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ \text{let } x \ E_1 \ E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma} &= \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 \ (\hat{\Sigma}\{x \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 \ \hat{\Sigma}\}) \\
 \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ \text{if } E_1 \ E_2 \ E_3 \ \hat{\Sigma} &= (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma}) \sqcup (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_3 \ \hat{\Sigma})
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{+} &\in \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \\
 \hat{-} &\in \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \\
 \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\} &\in E\hat{n}v \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow E\hat{n}v
 \end{aligned}$$

are safely abstracted from concrete materials $+$, $-$, $\cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\}$

1.2 Correctness Proof

To proof that the abstractions are correct, we have to show for all expression E

$$\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E$$

is hold. Here we assume α and γ is compositionally defined¹:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= \lambda f. \alpha_2 \circ f \circ \gamma_1 \\
 \gamma &= \lambda \hat{f}. \gamma_2 \circ \hat{f} \circ \alpha_1
 \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we define the following pair abstraction,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_{a \times b} &= \lambda \langle A, B \rangle. \langle \alpha_a A, \alpha_b B \rangle \\
 \gamma_{a \times b} &= \lambda \langle A, B \rangle. \langle \gamma_a A, \gamma_b B \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

¹Just soundness condition of α and γ is not enough for correctness proof, think of $\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E) = \lambda \hat{\Sigma}. \top$, which is sound abstraction but we cannot prove the correctness with given abstract semantics.

- $e \rightarrow n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ n) \ \hat{\Sigma} &= (\alpha_2 \circ \mathcal{V} \ n \circ \gamma_1) \ \hat{\Sigma} \quad (\text{by def. of } \alpha) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ n \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&= \alpha_2\{n\} \quad (\text{be def. of } \mathcal{V}) \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ n \ \hat{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}$$

- $e \rightarrow x$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ x) \ \hat{\Sigma} &= (\alpha_2 \circ \mathcal{V} \ x \circ \gamma_1) \ \hat{\Sigma} \quad (\text{by def. of } \alpha) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ x \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&= \alpha_2\{\sigma \ x \mid \sigma \in \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}\} \quad (\text{by def. of } \mathcal{V}) \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ x \ \hat{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}$$

- $e \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$

by I.H

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E_1) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 &\Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_1(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_1) \hat{\Sigma} \\
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E_2) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 &\Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_2(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2) \hat{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\dot{+}$ to be $\lambda\langle a, b \rangle. \{v_1 + v_2 \mid v_1 \in a, v_2 \in b\}$

Because $\hat{+}$ is sound operator, $id \sqsubseteq \gamma_{2 \times 2} \circ \alpha_{2 \times 2}$ and $\alpha_2 \circ \dot{+}$ is monotonic, following is true.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{+} &\sqsupseteq \alpha_2 \circ \dot{+} \gamma_{2 \times 2} \\
\Rightarrow \hat{+} \circ \alpha_{2 \times 2} &\sqsupseteq \alpha_2 \circ \dot{+} \quad \dots (1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E_1 + E_2) \ \hat{\Sigma} &= (\alpha_2 \circ \mathcal{V} \ E_1 + E_2 \circ \gamma_1) \ \hat{\Sigma} \quad (\text{by def. of } \alpha) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_1 + E_2 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&= \alpha_2\{z_1 + z_2 \mid z_i \in \mathcal{V} \ E_i \ \Sigma\} \quad (\text{by def. of } \mathcal{V}) \\
&= (\alpha_2 \circ \dot{+})(\mathcal{V} \ E_1 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}), \mathcal{V} \ E_2 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&\sqsubseteq (\hat{+} \circ \alpha_{2 \times 2})(\mathcal{V} \ E_1 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}), \mathcal{V} \ E_2 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\
&= \hat{+}(\alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_1 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})), \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_2 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}))) \\
&\sqsubseteq \hat{+}(\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 \ \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma}) \quad (\text{by I.H.}) \\
&= (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 \ \hat{\Sigma}) \hat{+} (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma}) \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 + E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma} \quad (\text{by def. of } \hat{\mathcal{V}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 + E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma} \sqsupseteq \alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E_1 + E_2) \ \hat{\Sigma}$$

- $e \rightarrow -E$

by I.H

$$\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E \Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E) \hat{\Sigma}$$

Let $\dot{-}$ to be $\lambda Z. \{-z \mid z \in Z\}$

$\hat{-}$ is sound operator, $id \sqsubseteq \gamma \circ \alpha$ and $\alpha_2 \circ \dot{-}$ is monotonic

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{-} &\sqsupseteq \alpha_2 \circ \dot{-} \circ \gamma_2 \\
\Rightarrow \hat{-} \circ \alpha_2 &\sqsupseteq \alpha_2 \circ \dot{-} \quad \dots (1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} - E) \hat{\Sigma} &= (\alpha_2 \circ (\mathcal{V} - E) \circ \gamma_0) \hat{\Sigma} \quad (\text{by def. of } \alpha) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} - E (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&= \alpha_2\{-z \mid z \in \mathcal{V} E \Sigma\} \quad (\text{by def. of } \mathcal{V}) \\
&= (\alpha_2 \circ \dot{-})(\mathcal{V} E (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \quad (\text{by def. of } \dot{-}) \\
&\sqsubseteq (\hat{-} \circ \alpha_2)(\mathcal{V} E (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\
&= \hat{-}(\alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}))) \\
&\sqsubseteq \hat{-}(\hat{\mathcal{V}} E \hat{\Sigma}) \quad (\text{by I.H. and mono. of } \hat{-}) \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{V}} - E \hat{\Sigma} \quad (\text{by def. of } \hat{\mathcal{V}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\mathcal{V}} - E \hat{\Sigma} \sqsubseteq \alpha(\mathcal{V} - E) \hat{\Sigma}$$

- $e \rightarrow \text{let } x E_1 E_2$

by I.H

$$\alpha(\mathcal{V} E_1) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_1 (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_1) \hat{\Sigma}$$

$$\alpha(\mathcal{V} E_2) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_2 (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2) \hat{\Sigma}$$

$$\text{Let } \{x \mapsto \cdot\} = \lambda \langle \Sigma, V \rangle. \{\sigma \{x \mapsto v\} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma, v \in V\}$$

Because operator $\cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\}$ is sound operator, $id \sqsubseteq \gamma \circ \alpha$ and $\alpha_1 \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\}$ is monotonic

$$\begin{aligned}
\cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\} &\sqsubseteq \alpha_1 \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\} \circ \gamma_{1 \times 2} \\
\Rightarrow \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\} \circ \alpha_{1 \times 2} &\sqsubseteq \alpha_1 \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\} \quad \dots (1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \text{let } x E_1 E_2) \hat{\Sigma} &= (\alpha_2 \circ \mathcal{V} \text{let } x E_1 E_2) \hat{\Sigma} \quad (\text{by def. of } \alpha) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \text{let } x E_1 E_2 (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_2 \{\sigma \{x \mapsto v\} \mid \sigma \in \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}, v \in \mathcal{V} E_1 (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})\}) \quad (\text{by def. of } \mathcal{V}) \\
&= (\alpha_2 \circ \mathcal{V} E_2 \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\})(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}, \mathcal{V} E_1 \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}) \quad (\text{by def. of } \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\}) \\
&\sqsubseteq (\alpha_2 \circ \gamma(\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2) \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\})(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}, \mathcal{V} E_1 \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}) \quad (\text{by I.H. \& mono. of } \alpha_2) \\
&= (\alpha_2 \circ \gamma_2 \circ \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2 \circ \alpha_1 \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\})(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}, \mathcal{V} E_1 \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}) \quad (\text{by def. of } \gamma) \\
&\sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2 \circ \alpha_1 \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\})(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}, \mathcal{V} E_1 \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}) \quad (\text{by } \alpha_2 \circ \gamma_2 \sqsubseteq id \text{ \& assume } \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2 \text{ is monotone}) \\
&\sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2 \circ \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\} \circ \alpha_{1 \times 2})(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}, \mathcal{V} E_1 \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2(\cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\}(\alpha_1(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}), \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_1 \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}))) \\
&\sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2(\cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\}(\hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \quad (\text{by } \alpha_1 \circ \gamma_1 \sqsubseteq id \text{ \& I.H. \& } \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2, \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\} \text{ are monotone.}) \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2(\hat{\Sigma} \{x \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{V}} E_1 \hat{\Sigma}\}) \quad (\text{by def. of } \cdot\{x \mapsto \cdot\}) \\
&= \hat{\mathcal{V}} \text{let } E_1 E_2 \hat{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\mathcal{V}} \text{let } E_1 E_2 \hat{\Sigma} \sqsubseteq \alpha(\mathcal{V} \text{let } E_1 E_2) \hat{\Sigma}$$

- $e \rightarrow \text{if } E_1 E_2 E_3$

by I.H

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E_1) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_1 &\Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_1(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_1) \hat{\Sigma} \\
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E_2) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 &\Rightarrow \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} E_2(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_2) \hat{\Sigma} \\
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \ E_3) \sqsubseteq \hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_3 &\Rightarrow \alpha_3(\mathcal{V} E_3(\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} E_3) \hat{\Sigma}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(\mathcal{V} \text{ if } E_1 \ E_2 \ E_3) \ \hat{\Sigma} &= (\alpha_2 \circ \mathcal{V} \text{ if } E_1 \ E_2 \ E_3 \circ \gamma_1) \ \hat{\Sigma} && \text{(by def. of } \alpha) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \text{ if } E_1 \ E_2 \ E_3 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_2 \ (\mathcal{B} \ E_1 \ \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma}) \cup \mathcal{V} \ E_3 \ (\neg \mathcal{B} \ E_1 \ \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \\
&= \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_2 \ (\mathcal{B} \ E_1 \ \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqcup \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_3 \ (\neg \mathcal{B} \ E_1 \ \gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) && (\alpha_2 \text{ is cont.}) \\
&\sqsubseteq \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_2 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) \sqcup \alpha_2(\mathcal{V} \ E_3 \ (\gamma_1 \hat{\Sigma})) && \text{(by def. of } \mathcal{B}) \\
&\sqsubseteq (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_2 \ \hat{\Sigma}) \sqcup (\hat{\mathcal{V}} \ E_3 \ \hat{\Sigma}) && \text{(by I.H.)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \hat{\mathcal{V}} \text{ if } E_1 \ E_2 \ E_3 \ \hat{\Sigma} \sqsupseteq \alpha(\mathcal{V} \text{ if } E_1 \ E_2 \ E_3) \ \hat{\Sigma}$$

□